APPEAL	Non-NABC+ Four
Subject	Unauthorized Information (UI)
DIC	Chris Patrias
Event	Mini Blue Ribbon Pairs
Session	Second Qualifying Session
Date	November 25, 2008

18	3
N/S	♦
East	•
	•

3001 Masterpoints	
۲	Q
►	A Q T 7 6 3
•	K 6 5
*	A 7 4

 ▲ 8743 ♥ J5 ♦ QJ92 ▲ J63 	3259 Masterpoints	
 ♦ QJ92 	*	8743
	۷	J 5
♣ 163	•	Q J 9 2
	÷	J 6 3

BD# VUL DLR

4	661 Masterpoints
۲	A K J
*	K 9 2
•	A 7 4 3
*	Q 8 5

Fall 2008

Boston, MA

West	North	East	South
		Pass	1NT
Pass	2♦	Pass	2♥
Pass	4 ♥ ¹	Pass	4NT
Pass	5 ♥ ²	Pass	6♥
Pass	Pass	Pass	

Final Contract	6 ♥ by S
Opening Lead	♦Q
Table Result	Made 6, N/S +1430
Director Ruling	6♥ by S, made 6, +1430
Panel Ruling	6♥ by S, made 6, +1430

٠

۷

۲

*

3715 Masterpoints T 9 6 5 2

84

T 8

K T 9 2

(1)	Mild slam try in hearts
(2)	North made an audible gasp, agreed upon at the table

The Facts: The director was called after the $6 \lor$ call. North made a mild slam try by transferring at the two-level then raising to game. South then chose to bid Roman Keycard Blackwood,, and South gasped after (mistakenly) responding $5 \lor$.North raised to $6 \diamondsuit$.

The Ruling: Even though Law 16B concerning unauthorized information might suggest that North had the $\mathbf{V}Q$ and demonstrably suggest that South bid on, pass was not considered to be a logical alternative, since South knew the partnership had all five controls. Therefore, the table result of $6\mathbf{V}$ by South, making 6, N/S plus 1430 was allowed to stand.

The Appeal: Since there is no source of tricks, bidding 6♥ is not clear and the unauthorized information could have influenced South.

The Decision: Two players were polled. Both disagreed with the 4NT bid. However, both felt that once bidding 4NT, they would never pass $5 \checkmark$ when holding five key cards. Also, since the "gasp" could have meant something else than the $\checkmark Q$ (one ace for instance), the unauthorized misinformation did not demonstrably indicate bidding rather than passing. While Law 16B may have been violated, the panel felt that neither was pass a logical alternative, nor did the UI demonstrably indicate bidding over passing. Therefore, the table result of $6 \checkmark$ by South, making 6, N/S plus 1430 was allowed to stand.

The Panel: Bernie Gorkin (Reviewer), John Ashton and Su Doe.